From: "lilmag" <popeye5@bestmail.us>

To: wtc@nist.gov

Subject: Dr. Sunder's findings on Bldg #7

Dear nist & Dr. Sunder,

I was thrilled to finally see someone with the courage to debunk the conspiracy theories with such aplomb. But, to be fair, there are a few lingering questions that I would like to ask Dr. Sunder or his team that worked so hard on debunking this myth:

- 1) can Dr Sunder determine why Mr. Silverstein clearly stated on national TV that he decided to (with the Fire Chief, of course) "pull Building #7"?...and
- 2) can Dr. Sunder find out who ordered the BBC reporter on national TV to read the statement that building #7 had fallen, when in fact building #7 was clearly visible over her shoulder as she read that report on live TV? In fact, Building #7 continued to stand for another 20-25 minutes after the BBC reporter was told to say it had fallen. This bothers me because no such building in history had fallen like that, and to say it had fallen leaves some doubts.
- 3) Can Dr. Sunder say how building #7 could have fallen at free fall speed (as did WTC 1 & 2)? Wouldn't each successive floor slow the falling process (unless each supporting beams on every floor below were blown out of the way?) If each lower floor slowed the fall of the building, as it should have in physics, building #7 would have taken a lot longer than 10 seconds to fall...maybe a few minutes, and likely the whole building wouldn't have been totally pulverized and leveled as a demolition would have done and by chance, the way building #7 actually fell?

There might be more questions, but if the good doctor can at least address these three nagging ones, it might help the remaining stubborn conspiracy theorists admit they are wrong. And though these questions may not necessarily all be engineering in nature, I do recall Dr. Sunder stated that he didn't think explosives were used because "there were no reports of sufficient noise characteristic of explosives".

Thank you for any help you can be Sincerely

http://www.fastmail.fm - Faster than the air-speed velocity of an unladen european swallow